## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	v. Inocencio Ayala-Vieyra Defendant	Case No. 1:19-mj-00279-ESC				
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Referendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require				
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact				
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had				
		156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:				
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in TU.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.						
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:				
	a minor victim					
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon				
(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state				
(-/	or local offense.	mile the defendant was envisioned pending that let a least a, state				
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).					
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.					
	Alternativ	re Findings (A)				
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense				
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s					
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.				
/ (4)		re Findings (B)				
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app					
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang					
		the Reasons for Detention				
evidence 1. Defen	find that the testimony and information submitted at th a preponderance of the evidence that: dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cor dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be re					
		o the court's attention should his circumstances change.				
	Doublill Discosticus	a Departing Detartion				

## **Part III – Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 21, 2019	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	